

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,911	12/09/2003	Juraj Babjak	2003_1783A	3302	
513 75	590 06/30/2005	•	EXAMINER		
WENDEROT	H, LIND & PONACK, I	ANDREWS, MELVYN J			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1742	
			D. MD. ( ) V. DD. 06/00/000		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	~~					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/729,911	BABJAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melvyn J. Andrews	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 May 2005</u> .						
7—						
·—						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents are considered to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 4 lines 15 to 24 the present invention is described as <u>comprising adjusting pH of the solution within the range of above 2.5 to 6 or lower</u>. This is confusing since a range is disclosed viz. 2.5 to 6 and the pH may be above the range as well as lower.

Appropriate explanation or correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7 to 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 claims "adjusting a pH of the solution within the range of above 2.5 to 6' this is indefinite because how can the range be above 2.5 to 6 as well as above 2.5 to 6 which is outside the range.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7 to 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Japanese patent No.2002-241856 in view of Brix (US 2,822,243). The Japanese patent discloses removing manganese from a solution containing manganese and

Art Unit: 1742

cobalt but does not disclose adding sodium hypochlorite to effect precipitation of manganese (see Abstract) but Brix discloses introducing sodium hydroxide and sodium hypochlorite to precipitate manganese oxide, it would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove manganese from the Japanese solution by introducing sodium hydroxide and sodium hypochlorite since the Japanese patent discloses that manganese can also be precipitated.

Claims 7 to 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Japanese patent No.JP 357140838A in view of Brix (US 2,822,243). The Japanese patent discloses removing manganese from a solution containing manganese and cobalt but does not disclose adding sodium hypochlorite to effect precipitation of manganese (see Abstract) but Brix discloses introducing sodium hydroxide and sodium hypochlorite to precipitate manganese oxide, it would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove manganese from the Japanese solution by introducing sodium hydroxide and sodium hypochlorite since the Japanese patent discloses that manganese can also be precipitated.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The JP 2002-241856 discloses precipitating Mn at a pH which is acidic but does not disclose addition NaOCI but Brix discloses that NaOCI may be used to precipitate Mn but the Examiner does not agree with applicants opinion that a pH of 7 differs from applicants claimed pH of "above 2.5 to 6".

Art Unit: 1742

Applicants argue that co-precipitation of Ni and Co may occur but such precipitation is not precluded in the claimed method.

Applicants argument that the instant method decreases the Mn concentration to 0.0013 g/l but this is not claimed.

With respect to JP357140838 and Brix it is noted that optimization of pH is regarded as well within the skill of the art since Brix discloses that adding sodium hypochlorite will precipitate Mn.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvyn J. Andrews whose telephone number is (571)272-1239. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on (571)272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MELVYN ANDREWS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

MJA June 25, 2005